

NBP - JOURNAL FOR CRIMINALISTICS AND LAW

JOURNAL POLICY

NBP Journal – Journal for Criminal Justice and Law publishes original scientific papers in the field of criminalistic, police, security and legal sciences, informatics and forensics, written in English. The Journal is published tri-annually, and is available in open access publishing model. Articles published in the Journal can be freely accessed and downloaded from the Journal website and can be distributed for educational, non-commercial purposes.

The journal uses a registration system SCIndeks Assistant Online (electronic journal editing) for all users involved in the editorial and publishing process: authors, editors and reviewers (available at: <http://aseestant.ceon.rs/index.php/nabepo/login>).

Editors Roles and Responsibilities

NBP – Journal of Criminal Justice and Law Editor-in-chief brings the final decision whether the Manuscripts should be published. When making the final decision, the editor is guided by editorial policy, taking into account legal regulations related to defamation, copyright infringement and plagiarism.

The editor must not have any conflict of interest in relation to the submitted manuscript. If such a conflict of interest exists, the Editorial board decides on the choice of peer-reviewers and the fate of the Manuscript. The Editor's decision takes into account the recommendation of the expert reviewers. The Editor decides which recommendations to accept as well as when they have received enough reviewer input to make a decision. The editor is obliged to decide about whether a manuscript is accepted for publication based on its content, without racial, gender, religious, ethnic or political prejudice.

The editor must not use unpublished material from the submitted manuscripts for his/her own research without any written permission from the (co)author(s).

Authors Responsibilities

All authors submitting their works to the journal for publication as original articles attest that the submitted works represent their authors' contributions and have not been copied or plagiarized in whole or in part from other works, that it has not been previously published and is not under consideration for publication elsewhere. The authors also guarantee that after publishing in NBP - Science, Security, Police - Journal for Criminal Justice and Law, the manuscript will not be published in another form in any language without the consent of the copyright owner.

Authors guarantee that the rights of third parties will not be damaged and that the publisher will not bear any liability if any claims for damages occur.

Authors bear all the responsibility for the content of the submitted manuscripts, as well as the validity of the experimental results, and must obtain a permission to publish data from all the parties involved in the research.

Authors who want to include images or parts of the text that have already been published are obliged to obtain the consent of the copyright holders for this and to submit evidence of such consent when submitting their work. The material for which such evidence has not been delivered will be considered as the original work of the author.

Authors guarantee that only authors who have significantly contributed to the contents of the manuscript are listed as (co)authors.

Ethical standards are crucial to guarantee high quality of scientific distributions, credibility of scientific findings, and the respective authors duly receive credit for their work. An author should ensure that the Manuscript is free of plagiarism, i.e., that it does not appropriate the composition or ideas of another and claim them as original work of the present author(s). The authors guarantee and that the manuscript does not contain ungrounded or unlawful claims and does not violate the rights of others.

When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published work, it is the author's obligation to promptly notify the journal editor or publisher and cooperate with the editor to retract or correct the paper. If the editor or the publisher learns from a third party that a published work contains a significant error, it is the obligation of the author to promptly retract or correct the paper or provide evidence to the editor of the correctness of the original paper.

Duties and responsibilities of reviewers

The received manuscripts are subject to a double blind peer-review process. Reviewers receive fees. The aim of the peer-review process is to assist the editor in deciding whether the manuscript should be accepted or rejected, and through the process of communication with authors, improve the quality of the manuscript.

The choice of peer-reviewers falls under the discretion of the editor. Reviewers must have relevant knowledge about the scope of the submitted manuscript, and may not be from the same institution as the author(s), nor should they be authors who have recently published mutual publications (as co-authors) with any of the authors of the submitted work. Exceptionally, one reviewer can be from the same institution as the author if it is a very narrow scientific topic for, as there are not many experts at the national level, or if some peer-reviewers rejected to review the manuscript.

Reviewers should disclose to the editor conflicts of interest, financial or non-financial, resulting from direct competitive, collaborative (within the past five years), or other relationships with any of the authors or organizations with interests in the paper, and avoid cases in which such conflicts preclude an objective evaluation. If in doubt, the reviewer is encouraged to immediately consult with the editor regarding the appropriate course of action.

A reviewer who considers himself/herself incompetent for the subject or scope of the submitted manuscript is obliged to inform the editor.

Reviews should be conducted objectively and observations formulated clearly with supporting arguments so that authors can use them for improving the manuscript. Personal criticism of the authors is inappropriate.

Any manuscripts received for review are confidential documents and must be treated as such; they must not be shown to or discussed with others except if authorized by the Editor-in-Chief. This applies also to invited reviewers who decline the review invitation. Reviews are anonymous. The identity of reviewers remains unknown to authors before, during and after the review process. The reviewers fill in a review sheet providing their observations and suggestions on the submitted manuscript.

Throughout the peer-reviewing process, reviewers act independently. The reviewers do not know the identity of other reviewers. If the reviewer's decisions are not the same (accepted/rejected), the editor-in-chief may seek the opinion of other reviewers.

The editorial board is obliged to provide a solid quality control of the submitted reviews. In case that the author(s) have serious and strong objections for the review process, the editorial board will check whether the review is objective and meets academic standards. If there is a doubt about objectivity or review quality, the editor will seek the opinion of other reviewers.

Plagiarism

Plagiarism is the most serious violation of ethical standards. Plagiarism, in which one misrepresents ideas, words or other creative expression as one's own, is a clear violation of such ethical principles. Plagiarism can also represent a violation of copyright law, punishable by statute. Plagiarism manifests itself in a variety of forms, including:

- Verbatim copying, near-verbatim copying, or purposely paraphrasing portions of another author's paper, or its parts, without clearly indicating the source or marking of the copied fragments (for example, using the quotation marks);
- Copying elements of another author's paper, such as equations or illustrations that are not common knowledge, or copying or purposely paraphrasing sentences without citing the source
- Verbatim copying of portions of another author's paper with citing but not clearly differentiating what text has been copied (e.g., not applying quotation marks correctly) and/or not citing the source correctly.

Manuscripts with clear indications of plagiarism will be automatically rejected, and authors will be permanently or temporarily forbidden to publish in the Journal.

Article Withdrawal

Published manuscripts will be available as far as possible in the form in which they are published, without any changes. Occasionally the manuscript will be withdrawn in order to correct errors in submission, in order to preserve the integrity of science, rather than the will to punish the authors.

Occasionally, but less frequently, the articles may represent infringements of professional ethical codes, such as multiple submission, bogus claims of authorship, plagiarism, fraudulent use of data or the like.

In some cases, submitted manuscripts may be withdrawn in order to correct subsequent errors in the already published manuscript.

Self-archiving

The journal allows authors to deposit Author's Pre-print, Author's post-print (accepted version) and Publisher's version/PDF in an institutional repository and non-commercial subject-based repositories, such as *PubMed Central*, *Europe PMC* or *arXiv*, or to publish it on author's personal website and departmental website (including profiles on social networks for scientists, such as *ResearchGate*, *Academia.edu*, etc.), at any time after publication. Publisher copyright and source must be acknowledged for deposit of Author's Post-print or Publisher's version/PDF, and a link must be made to the article's DOI of the published article in the form of an HTML link.

Copyright

When the manuscript is accepted for publication, the authors transfer copyright to the publisher. In case the Manuscript is not accepted for printing in the journal, the authors retain all rights.

The following rights to the manuscript, including additional materials, and any parts, derivations or elements of the manuscript are transmitted to the publisher:

- the right to reproduce and distribute the manuscript in printed form, including print on demand;
- the right to print trial copies, reprint and special manuscript editions;
- the right to translate manuscript into other languages;
- the right to reproduce manuscript using photomechanical or similar means, including but not limited to photocopying, and the right to distribute these copies;
- the right to reproduce and distribute the manuscript electronically or optically using all data carriers or storage media, especially in machine readable/digitized form on data carriers such as hard disk, CD-ROM, DVD, Blu-ray Disc (BD), mini disc, data bar, as well as the right to reproduce and distribute the manuscript from these media;
- the right to save manuscripts in databases, including online databases, and the right to transfer manuscripts in all technical systems and regimes;
- the right to make the manuscript available to the public or limited user groups based on individual requests for use on other readers (including readers of electronic books), in printed form for users, either via the Internet, online service, or through internal or external networks .